

Does the "CDAC Feedback / Recommendation" in the Guiding Principles on CDW Service Array and Modalities capture CDAC's feedback (Y/N)

	Andrea Hansen	Danielle Robbins	Darcy Andahl	Heather Lundeen	Janakate Walker	Jonathan O'Konek	Julianne Horntvedt	Katynka Morrissette	Kayla Johnson	Kendra Vander Wal	Kirsten Dvorak	Kyle Erickson	Lorena Poppe	Paul Kolstoe	Vicki Peterson
Guiding Principles on CDW Service Array & Modalities	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

*

*

*

* NOTE: Danielle Robbins, Katynka, Lorena Poppe voted via email after the meeting

CDAC's Guiding Principles on CDW Service Array and Modalities – Service Array

DRAFT

CDAC Feedback / Recommendation	A&M Notes
<p>All people, especially people with disabilities and their families, deserve to be treated with dignity and respect. The CDW should provide person-centered supports that help people with disabilities and their families lead self-determined lives.</p>	<p>The CDW as a family supports waiver is intended to support families with the additional responsibilities of having children with disabilities and to help families prepare their children with disabilities for independence.</p>
<p>The CDW should offer the services from the ASD, MF, and IID/DD Waivers that the CDW target population is using today. This will reduce the risk of service disruption for existing waiver participants.</p>	<p>A&M is working with the state to review service utilization data and identify all services being used by the CDW target population.</p>
<p>The new waiver is an opportunity to look at the existing waiver services that would carry over to the CDW and tailor them to best fit the children on the CDW. This includes streamlining service definitions and understanding the impact across the CDW's target populations.</p>	<p>Some services that are on multiple waivers have different definitions depending on the waiver. This helps make the service fit better for different populations. CDW service definitions will be written with the waiver's specific target populations in mind. We will also look at any changes to service delivery options and rules that may make sense for the CDW.</p>



CDAC's Guiding Principles on CDW Service Array and Modalities – Virtual Supports

DRAFT

CDAC Feedback / Recommendation	A&M Notes
<p>There is a difference between virtual supports as a waiver service modality (e.g., case management visits that are held virtually instead of in-person) and technological devices / supports that are services themselves (e.g., assistive technology).</p>	<p>It is important to offer virtual supports as an option for service delivery when appropriate. Not every waiver participant may benefit from assistive technology or similar services.</p>
<p>It is important to make sure that there are mitigation plans and backup options when technology breaks or isn't working properly. This is important both for technological devices and when services are being delivered virtually.</p>	<p>It is important to plan for issues with assistive technology and / or virtual supports. This type of planning should continue to be included as part of the formal person-centered planning process. There may be opportunities to provide additional information about planning for back-up options.</p>
<p>The CDW should offer virtual supports when desired by the waiver participant but should balance virtual supports with requirements for in-person, "eyes-on" support.</p>	<p>Requiring certain in-person service delivery and / or case management support could help reduce the risk of isolating the waiver participant.</p>



CDAC's Guiding Principles on CDW Service Array and Modalities – Self-Direction

DRAFT

CDAC Feedback / Recommendation	A&M Notes
<p>It is important for self-direction to be an option on the CDW, like it is an option for some services on ND's existing children's waivers today. The services on the CDW should maintain the same provider format as they currently do on ND's existing children's waivers today. Over time, lessons learned from the CDW could further enhance the provider format.</p>	<p>Self-direction is an important option for many families to have but may not be the right fit for every service or for every family. Services on ND's children's waivers today can either be 1) provider-managed only, 2) self-directed only, or 3) provider-managed or self-directed. Maintaining each service's same provider format from existing waivers on to the CDW promotes consistency and reduces the risk of service disruption for waiver participants when the CDW is launched.</p>
<p>Additional educational materials on the roles and responsibilities for self-directing could be helpful for families when deciding to self-direct. It can be more work to self-direct because there are additional things families need to do.</p>	<p>North Dakota is currently working on resources for enhancing educational materials about self-direction. Incorporating family and self-advocate perspectives on instructional materials can help make them more user friendly.</p>
<p>Families have expressed that they would like extra help when self-directing sometimes. Considering a Support Broker (someone dedicated to answering questions about self-direction and acting as an employer), a Family Navigator, or other related positions could be helpful for families.</p>	<p>A Support Broker can be helpful but does add costs to a waiver. This could also create more bandwidth for Case Managers who are receiving questions about self-directing that would be better directed towards a Support Broker or the Financial Management Services vendor. Other integrated supports, like family networks and advocacy organizations, also play an important role in sharing more nuanced information about self-direction.</p>

